



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/076,774	02/15/2002	Nicholas Michael Masich	3719 EXAMINER	
7:	590 10/03/2003			
Nicholas M. Masich			ELVE, MARIA ALEXANDRA	
316 Bowman D			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Woodbury, NJ	08090		1725	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/076,774	MASICH, NICHOLAS MICHAEL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	M. Alexandra Elve	1725				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cov r sheet with the correspondenc address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<del></del>					
, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office	<del></del>					



Art Unit: 1725

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

During a telephone conversation with Nicholas Masich on September 23, 2003 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I, claim 1. (Examiner Anita Alanko) Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 2-3 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 1 is rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited. It is not clear if applicant is disclosing a method of forming holes or a device that has laser pieced holes.



Art Unit: 1725

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DD 127358 (abstract).

DD (127358) discloses a nozzle plate (spinneret) in which laser piercing is used to form the holes. This nozzle is used to form glass fibers (filaments). DD (127358) does not teach the manufacture of synthetic filaments for the application to reduction of tread separation in tires.

It has been held that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See <a href="In re Casey">In re Casey</a>, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and <a href="In re Casey">In re</a> Casey</a>, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and <a href="In re Casey">In re</a> Casey</a>, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and <a href="In re Casey">In re</a> Casey</a>, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and <a href="In re Casey">In re</a> Casey</a>, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and <a href="In re Casey">In re</a> Casey</a>, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and <a href="In re Casey">In re</a> Casey</a>, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and <a href="In re Casey">In re</a> Casey</a>, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and <a href="In re Casey">In re</a> Casey</a>, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and <a href="In re Casey">In re</a> Casey</a>, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and <a href="In re Casey">In re</a> Casey</a>, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and <a href="In re Casey">In re</a> Casey</a>, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and <a href="In re</a> In re</a> Casey

In re</a>



Art Unit: 1725

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 06210858 A (abstract).

JP (06210858 A) discloses an inkjet nozzle in which the holes are formed by laser piercing. The reference does not teach the manufacture of filaments or the relationship to tire treads.

It has been held that a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See <a href="In re Casey">In re Casey</a>, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and <a href="In re Otto">In re Otto</a>, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the nozzle to disperse different materials because the type of materials chosen are a choice in design and substitutions of known equivalent structures may be made. <a href="In re Kuhle">In re Kuhle</a>, 188 USPQ (CCPA 1975) and <a href="In re Rufle">In re Rufle</a>, 188 USPQ (CCPA 1975) and <a href="In re Rufle">In re Rufle</a>, 188 USPQ (CCPA 1975) and <a href="In re Rufle">In re Rufle</a>, 188 USPQ (CCPA 1975) and <a href="In re Rufle">In re Rufle</a>, 188 USPQ (CCPA 1975) and <a href="In re Rufle">In re Rufle</a>, 188 USPQ (CCPA 1975).



Art Unit: 1725

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Alexandra Elve whose telephone number is 703-308-0092. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00 Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 703-308-3318. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

September 24, 2003.

M. ALEXANDRA ELVE PRIMARY EXAMINER